	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/635,040	TOMORI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anthony J. Paviglianiti	1626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	olication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>Response to Restriction on 09 June 2005</u> .			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 2.			
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examiner.			
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	been received. been received in Application No		tion from the
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	of this communication to file a reply of this application.	complying with the red	quirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			OTICE OF
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	at be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached			
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date			
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the			back) of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT			Note the
	·		
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal P	atant Application (DT)) 152\
 In Notice of References Cited (P10-892) In Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	6. ☑ Interview Summary		J-152)
	Paper No./Mail Dat	(F10-413), e	
3. A Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 7/31/03; 11/4/03 & 6/30/04.	8), 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amendn	nent/Comment	
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. ☑ Examiner's Stateme	ent of Reasons for Allo	wance
of Biological Material	9. Other		

Claims 1 – 12 were pending in the instant application and were subject to a restriction requirement dated April 20, 2005 (see below). Claims 1 and Claims 3 – 12 were cancelled by Examiner's Amendment with authorization by the Applicant. Therefore, Claim 2 is pending in the instant application. An Examiner's Amendment follows the analysis below.

Priority

This application is the National Stage Application (35 U.S.C. §371) (effective filing date July 31, 2003) of PCT/JP02/00804 (filing date February 1, 2002).

Acknowledgement is made of applicant's claim to foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §§119(a) – (d), by Japanese patent application No. 2001-026374, with filing date February 2, 2001.

Information Disclosure Statement

The Information Disclosure Statements filed on July 31, 2003, November 4, 2003, and June 30, 2004, are in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.97, and were considered by the examiner.

Election/restriction and response to traverse

Applicant's written "Response to Restriction Requirement," dated June 9, 2005, has been entered, electing the invention of **Group II** (Claim 2) and the compound "1-acetyl-7-nitro-5-ethoxycarbonylmethyl-4,6-dimethylindoline."

Accordingly, Claims 1 and Claims 3-12 were withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.142(b).

The restriction requirement was made with traverse on grounds that the restriction requirement did not state the scope of the invention to be searched for applicant's consideration.

was searched in

Application/Control Number: 10/635,040

Art Unit: 1626

Examiner agrees with applicant's argument that the scope of the invention which was searched is relevant to this analysis, and provided a synopsis of this information to applicant during a telephone conversation about the claims on August 5, 2005. A written description of the scope of the art which was searched for this invention is found below.

Scope of Prior Art Searched

1) The elected compound

The chemical compound elected by applicant, "1-acetyl-7-nitro-5-ethoxycarbonylmethyl-

4,6-dimethylindoline," having the structure

the applicable art, and is free of the prior art of record.

2) Expansion of search of prior art

The search of the art was expanded beyond the elected compound corresponding to

compounds of formula (II),

was searched as a "protective group for the amino group" (as defined in the Specification at page 8, lines 12-23, and at page 9, lines 1-37); \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 were searched as a "lower alkyl group" (as defined in the Specification at page 10, lines 3-11); \mathbb{R}^4 was searched as a hydrogen atom or as a "protective group for the carboxyl group" (as defined in the Specification at page 10, lines

Art Unit: 1626

13-26 and p. 11, lines 1-20); and searched for salts and "amide" derivatives (as defined in the Specification at p. 11, lines 22-37, and p. 12, lines 1-7 ["amide"] and p. 12, lines 9-38 [salts]). The closest prior art found in the course of the search is described below.

Examiner's Amendment

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 C.F.R. §1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Agreement for the following examiner's amendment as to Claims 1 and 3 – 12 was reached in a telephone interview with Marshall J. Chick, Esq., on August 5, 2005, and authorized by Mr. Chick. The agreement and authorization are also summarized on the Telephone Interview Summary Form (PTOL-413) dated August 5, 2005.

The claims in the application have been amended as follows:

Delete Claim 1.

Delete Claims 3 - 12.

The deleted claims were cancelled by applicant without prejudice to pursue in a future continuing or divisional application. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the telephone interview of August 5, 2005.

<u>Reasons for Allowance</u>

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The present invention is directed to chemical synthetic intermediates of formula (II),

Art Unit: 1626

 NO_2 R^1 , as depicted in Claim 2 of the present application, wherein R^1 is a "protective group for the amino group"; R^2 and R^3 are the same or different and each represents a "lower alkyl group"; R^4 is a hydrogen atom or a "protective group for the carboxyl group"; a salt or an amide derivative thereof.

Specifically, the synthetic intermediates of formula (II), as limited in Claim 2, were found to be free of the prior art because of the particular combination of substituents on the indoline ring; i.e., their novelty arises from the limitation of a nitro (NO₂) group at the 7-position, combined with required "lower alkyl" groups at the 4- and 6-positions, as well as an acetic acid, acetate (or acetamide) substituent at the 5-position, and an amino-protecting group at the 1-position (i.e., bonded to the indoline ring's nitrogen atom). When the above limitations were imposed, even the broadest interpretation of the limitations of substituent groups \mathbb{R}^1 , \mathbb{R}^2 , \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{R}^4 were not anticipated nor rendered obvious by known chemical compounds in the prior art.

The closest applicable prior art is the compound disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 6,063,806 (Kamiya, et al.), issued May 16, 2000, which disclosed the compound N-(5-carboxymethyl-4,6-

dimethyl-1-benzyl-indolin-7-yl)-2,2-dimethylpropanamide,

U.S. Patent No. 6,063,806 at col. 37, Table 8, "Example 115"). This compound meets many of

Art Unit: 1626

the limitations of formula (II) in Claim 2 of the present invention,

"amino protecting group" – see Specification at p. 9, line 19); \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3 are each a methyl group; and \mathbb{R}^4 is hydrogen; however, the prior art differs from the compounds of the present invention because the substituent at the 7-position of the indoline ring in the prior art is "– $\mathbb{NC}(O)\mathbb{C}(CH_3)_3$," instead of the requisite \mathbb{NO}_2 group of the present invention. Nor would the prior art meet the alternative limitation of $\mathbb{Claim}\ 2$ as an "amide derivative" of formula (II), as the Specification expressly defines the term "amide" as "...a group which is produced by substitution of a carboxyl group with an amino group..." [emphasis added] (Specification at p. 11, lines 22-23). Thus, the compound disclosed in \mathbb{U} . Patent \mathbb{No} . 6,063,806, which was determined to be the closest prior art, does not meet all of the limitations required for the synthetic intermediate compounds of formula (II) in $\mathbb{Claim}\ 2$ of the present invention.

Therefore, based on the analysis above, Claim 2 is neither anticipated nor rendered obvious over the prior art of record, and is allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/635,040

Art Unit: 1626

Conclusion

Claim 2 is allowed.

Claims 1 and 3 – 12 were cancelled by Examiner's Amendment authorized by applicant.

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Anthony J. Paviglianiti** whose telephone number is (571) 272-3107. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 a.m. - 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane, can be reached at (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Please note that this is a new central FAX number for all official correspondence.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony J. Paviglianiti

Patent Examiner

TC-1600, Art Unit 1626

KAMAL A. SAEED, PH.E. PRIMARY EXAMINA

Joseph K. McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner

TC-1600, Art Unit 1626